G. R. S. [illegible]

Previous references.
d. R. 53-8
d. R. 53-9

192

File No. [illegible]

Serial Nos. [illegible]

Later reference

[illegible]

[illegible] July 47

[illegible]



[illegible]

17

To

The Secretary R. S.

Sir

Adverting to the orders of Govt dated regarding revision of Valuation of Inam Villages in ~~Coimbatore~~ the Corrected area of Actual Cultn and the ~~Fasli~~ term of ~~Patta~~ classification

I am directed to observe that in the case of Sarabapanhalli Village the discrepancy between the results of the original Survey & the Re-Survey is so large as nearly 50% — and that the Govt. consider it very unlikely that the Inamdars will have purposely left so much area as uncultivated with the object of getting the Govt rent reduced. As considerable time has now elapsed since the Re Survey I am to request that a further Survey of the lands of this Village may be made for the Valuation of Govt and the result reported in due Course

for a Series of years (on the Chance)

No 12

Mysore

Mysore

M 1038

dated 15 August 1889

Petitioner

Srinivasachary

Lodger of Devanahalli

Devanahalli taluq

Bangalore Dt.

the mere fact a
student gives here

Notice to
Petitioner of
Hearing 28th
October 1889
Thursday
11 A.
27/10/89

28/10/89.

2/11/89.

12/1 (12)

M No 1033 dy 15 Augt 1899

Petitioner
Srinivasachary
Sowdar
of Lonappanahalli
Devanhalli Taluq
Bangalore

Petitioner complains that when the first assessment of his village was determined by the Survey Dept in 1883 a large area of land which was waste was treated as cultivated & that the first assessment imposed then became excessive.—

In 1891, the lands were re-measured with the following results:

		area as now surveyed acres	area as found in 1883 acres
Cultivated	Dry	36.16	105.14
	Wet	.39	4.15
	Garden	3.1	2.7
	Total cultivated	40.6	111.26
Cultivable waste	Dry	133.38	69.37
	Wet	3.11	.36
Uncultivable waste		17.15	15.21
	Total	194.30	198.

2/ The area now surveyed is the actual area cultivated — whereas that shewn as cultivated in

4

1883 was arrived at by treating the whole number as cultivated whenever more than half of its area was cultivated — Moreover, ~~there were too~~ by a clerical error a waste number was treated as cultivated. — Correcting the ~~area~~ error, & taking the actual area cultivated in 1883, the figures stand as shown below. ———

		as per present survey	as per survey in 1883
Cultd	Dry	~~75~~ 36.6	68.36 ~~76.36~~
	Wet	.39	4.5
	Garden	2.7	2.7
Total cultd		40.6	~~80.26~~ 75.8

3/ The Supt of Rev Survey thinks that he has no reason whatever to doubt the accuracy of the measurements of 1883, & that Col Mackenzie who tested the classification did not find any inaccuracy, although it is not known whether he has actually re-measured the lands or not. 2

4/ Now are two points to be settled.

First — Whether the practice

12/2

of treating the whole number as cultivated, when ~~more than~~ half the number the actual cultivation exceeds more than half the area of the number should be upheld ~~on appeal~~ the first rent shewed the cultivation on the area ~~only~~ actually cultivated. If the Survey Dept. had omitted to take into account the area cultivated when it was less than half the area of the number, the practice of treating the whole number as cultivated when the actual cultivation exceeds ~~more than~~ half ~~the number~~ the total area of a number - But ~~this~~ was not done.

Decree.— Whether the first rent shewed be reversed with reference to the area not cultivated, or to that returned as cultivated in 1883 — corrected as shewn above —

5/ As regards the 1st point, the Govt are of opinion that the procedure adopted by the Survey Dept is not correct. They would have acted rightly

if while ~~taking~~ treating
the whole number as cultivated
when the area cultivated
exceeded ~~half~~ the total area
of that number, they had omitted
to take into account the area
of those numbers in which the
extent cultivated was less than
half of the area of those numbers
but they did not do so. Where the area
cultivated was less than half that
of a number, they took into account
the actual area cultivated, while
on the other hand they took
the area of the whole number as cultivated
wherever the area of ~~the number~~ cultivated
was more than half. The decision
of Govt on this point is that the fixed
rent should be calculated on the
area cultivated in all cases.

6. As regards the 2nd point, the
Govt agree with the Supdt of Revenue
Survey that as a rule, the areas
actually cultivated at the time of
classification should alone
~~the~~ form the basis for calculating
the fixed rent. But any other rule

12/3 7

~~to~~ ~~to~~

~~be~~ involve endless work. But in the present case, the figures are believed to double the accuracy of the survey measurement of 1883. The present area cultivated is but half of that reported to have been cultivated in 1883 & it is very unlikely that the land owner purposely have left so much area uncultivated ~~merely~~ in view to effecting a reduction of fair rent.

The best solution of the difficulty would be to revise the fair rent with reference to the ~~present area of cultivation~~, & ~~Recognise the difference between that~~

I want this

A/1.

6

~~amount the first tax leviable according to the correct classification of 1883~~ area of the classification of 1883, & Keep the Collection of the difference between that amount, & the amount calculated on the area now cultivated in abeyance till further orders, so that the Land may be re-measured in another favorable year or two & the ~~final~~ matter finally settled.

I want this done

The Survey Supt is accordingly requested to revise the first case with reference to the actual area of cultivation in 1883, & to intimate to the Govt the amount of first rent that will be leviable, if the present area cultivated were adopted, so that he may keep the Collection of the difference in abeyance till further orders.

I want this done

1/6/91

Case
Mr is referred to the Govt to whom orders have been issued

I am not in favor of leaving the final decision in this case as adopted. I think we ought to accept the area of classification of 1883 and for the Govt part with regard to the actual area of cattle of that year. If this case we make an exception and allow reassessments now & then several cases of this kind would crop up and then

will be no finality
as regards settlements
already made but
omit the portion
marked by me
in para 6 of the
enclosed draft also

[illegible]

16/6/91

[illegible]

I am morally
convinced that
the measurement of
1883 was wrong. but
I do not like to say
that — may we not
consult the Dewan?

LL
24/6/91

By all means
let us do so —
as the Govt is
an important one

24/6 [illegible]

11
12

Read
All Corrs re Petns

There have been several complaints from Inamdars ~~that in calculating~~ quit rent and cesses under the instructions conveyed in para 4 of the Proceedings of Government No 4701-9-133 dated 2d Sept 1881, ~~a much larger area was treated as cultivated than was actually the fact~~ - Three of the complaints, namely those relating to Jodi Somappankalli, Navaratna Agrahar and Sivarkhandapura have been investigated and the results arrived at by a re-survey of the lands in those villages are as follows: -

in regard to the valuation of their villages for purposes of

owing to the Survey Dept requiring as under cultn a larger extent than was really cultivated

		I. Sanapphanhalli		II. Navarathna agrahar		III. Aivarkhandapura	
		area as now Surveyed	area adopted by the Survey Dept. in 1883	area as now Surveyed	area adopted by the S. Dept. in 1883	area as now Surveyed	area adopted by the S. Dept. in 1883.
Cultivated	Dry	36-6	105-14	183-36	243-11	519-21	575-11
	Wet	-39	4-5	8--	12-26	149-5	177-31
	Garden	3-1	2-7	8-4	14-18	21-36	22-13
	Total cultivated	40-6	111-26	200--	270-15	690-82	776-15
Cultivable Waste.	Dry	133-38	69-37	109-26	36-31		
	Wet	3-11	-36	5-20	-		
	garden				1-35		

It is reported that the figures adopted by the Survey Department in 1883 do not represent the area that was actually under cultivation in that year; ~~for it was~~ a rule ~~of the Department that~~ when the cultivated area ~~of a field~~ exceeded half the extent of ~~the~~ a field, the whole ~~of the~~ field ~~should~~ ~~be~~ taken as under cultivation and ~~that~~ when the cultivated ~~area~~ the extent of a field ~~was~~ is less than half the actual ~~extent under cultivation~~ cultivated area is taken into account. ~~should be taken into account.~~

~~There were further~~ clerical errors ~~as shown to have been made~~ in tabulating the figures

~~It also~~ In addition to the operation of this ~~rule~~ departmental rule, certain

given by the Classers in regard to the first and second villages, ~~e.g. to the former~~ Village a an extent of 25-18 which was really waste was erroneously included in the extent under cultivation and in the latter 55 acres which under the rule referred to should have been classed as arable waste was ~~have been~~ entered as cultivated.

Although ~~in~~ the purposes of valuation the extent of cultivated land was calculated ~~in accordance with the aforesaid rule yet it is noted the extent which according to the classers was actually under cultivation in each field in 1883 is recorded in the accounts submitted by them. The figures obtained at the re-survey are compared below with the figures representing the actual extent of cultivation in 1883 as shown in the Classers' books~~

	I Sanappanhalli		II Navaratna Agrahara		III Shivarkhandapura	
	area as now surveyed	actual extent in 1883	area as now surveyed	actual extent in 1883	area as now surveyed	actual extent in 1883
Cultivated - Dry	36-6	68-36	183-36	189-37	519-28	575-11
Wet	-39	4-5	8--	12-36	149-8	177-31
Garden	3-1	2-7	8-4	12-23	21-36	22-13
Total extent cultivated	40-6	75-8	200--	215-16	690-32	775-15

The discrepancy in the first case is ~~fancy~~ last. As regards the third case it is stated that out of the extent now lying waste, 40-39 were under cultivation about 10 years ago. This added to the 690-32 now under cultivation in that village, makes a total of 731-31, as against 775-15 the actual extent ~~shown~~ as under cultivation in 1883.

Two questions require consideration, viz—

(1) whether the practice of treating the whole field as cultivated when the actual cultivation exceeds more than half the area of the number should be upheld

(2) whether the quit rent should be revised with reference to the area now cultivated or to that returned as cultivated in 1883.— corrected as above—

The Superintendent, Revenue Survey, states that the cultivated and waste area in each Survey number was measured at time of measurement, that if at the time of classification the classer had any doubts about the accuracy of division into cultivated and waste he made a re-measurement, that the duty of working out the "more than half cultivated" rule

rested with the classers and not the measurers, that the classification was tested by Col. Mackenzie, that though it is not known whether he actually re measured the cultivated area yet his test entailed his working every portion of the number tested, and that there is therefore no reason to doubt the accuracy of the classers' returns. He further states in his letter No 818 dated 11 March 1881 that the treatment of the whole number as cultivated when more than half was under the plough is not so illiberal as might at first sight be supposed, and that the so called waste land thereby included does not represent ordinary "Anadi Banjar" but is more or less mixed up with the cultivation and probably was actually cultivated in some years. He however suggests in para 3 of his letter No 76 dated 1st May 1891 that the areas actually under cultivation & waste respectively at time of classification be taken as the basis of valuation, and adds that the revision of settlement on the actual area cultivated at the time of classification will entail no labor in the field on the part of the Survey Dept and that if Inamdars are to be allowed the benefit of such particular year

as they choose there will be endless petitions.

The Government agree that except where the difference between the classes' figures of 1883 and the figures obtained at revision is very great and there is nothing to show that lands now under cultivation had since been allowed to be waste the rule proposed by the Survey Superintendent is the fairest to be adopted. The procedure adopted by the Survey Dept[t] in 1883 was not correct. They would have acted rightly if while treating the whole number as cultivated when the area cultivated exceeded half the total area of the number, they ~~had omitted to take into account~~ the area of field numbers in which the extent cultivated was less than half. ~~The area of those numbers~~

In the case of Sahapparahalli the Govt are inclined to doubt the accuracy of the Survey measurement of 1883. The present area cultivated is but half of what is reported to have been cultivated in 1883 and it is very unlikely that the Inamdar would purposely have left so much area uncultivated as to effecting a reduction of assessment. The best solution of the difficulty would be to revise

have given the best consideration to the subject. The Govt are of opinion that the treatment of fields, cultd more than half, as wholly under cultn for purposes of valuation, was not fair to the Inamdar, and it was not calculated individual Inamdars, & it was not calculated to give satisfactory average results, unless at the same time account was omitted to be taken of any portion of

The Supt of Rev Survey in accordance with requests to revise the valuation of those fields where the extent of land actually under cultn at time of classification & the extent of land actually under cultn at time of revision were distinctly within any distinction as to whether a field was cultd to more or less than half. The revision is not to be effected on the records without any field. Labor in the revision is not to be taken of the smaller errors correct also any clerical errors such as entries above cultn which may have crept into the original valuation

The Govt are unwilling to permit any Inamdar

at the expense of the Jodidars may be carried out - and the suit sent revised in accordance with the foregoing instructions.

On the Complaint of the Jodidar of Wonddanhalli the lands of the village were ordered to be re-measured, but it is reported that the Jodidar has expressed his unwillingness to bear the cost of the resurvey and that the classers return is corroborated by the Phodpahani prepared by the Amildar -

Re-measurement of the village is accordingly not required —

Office Note.

Regarding the revaluation of certain Inam Villages in the Bangalore District

Memo

The papers in the file herewith submitted contain Four cases of complaints by Inamdars against the Survey valuation of their villages - They are marked A B C & D

Case A - Complaint of Pordar Sreenivas Chary of Sānappānahalli in the Devanhally Taluk: that the Survey department included a great deal of waste under cultivation which has unnecessarily enhanced his pait-rent. and that the rent he gets from his tenants is a great deal less than the assessment fixed by the Survey Deptt.

A re-Survey of the lands of the village was ordered - the Dy Commr Bangalore District having been requested to postpone the Sale of the joint village pending further orders -

The

The Re-Survey was carried out with the following result:

	Area as per Re-survey. A. G.	Total	Area as per survey originally made in 1883. A. G.	Total
Cultivated Dry	36 - 6		105 - 14	
Wet	0 - 39		4 - 5	
Garden	3 - 1		2 - 7	
	40 - 6	= 40 - 6	111 - 26	= 111 - 26
Culturable waste				
Dry	133 - 38		69 - 37	
Wet	3 - 11	137 - 9	0 - 36	70 - 33
Unculturable Waste	17 - 15		15 - 21	
Grand Total	194 - 30		198 - 0	

The Re-Survey brought to light a clerical error that 25 Acres and 18 Guntas were recorded as cultivated when they were really waste - Correcting this error and taking the actual area cultivated, the figures for cultivated Land stand as shewn below:

Cultivated Land

	area as per Re-Survey A. G.	area as per Survey of 1883. A. G.
Dry	36 - 6	68 - 36
Wet	0 - 39	4 - 5
Garden	3 - 1	2 - 7
	40 - 6	75 - 8

The Re-Survey has also shown that it has been the practice in the Survey Dept to treat a whole number as cultivated when more than half of it is found to be under cultivation and to take into account only the actual area under cultivation if less than half of a Number is cultivated.

Here there are two points requiring orders.

i Whether the practice referred to of treating a whole Survey Number as cultivated when more than half of it is cultivated should be upheld.

ii. Whether the quit-rent should be revised with reference to the area now cultivated or to that returned as cultivated in 1863. Corrected as shewn above.

The Councillors are of opinion

with regard to the 1st point that the practice therein alluded to is unsound in principle and that the Quit-rent should be calculated on the actual area under cultivation in all cases.

As regards the 2nd point, the ~~Decision of the~~ Councillors is ~~not~~ agree with the Survey Supdt in holding that the areas actually cultivated at the time of the classification should alone form the basis for calculating the Quit-Rent.

The Counsellors draft in the case is herewith submitted.

The other cases B. C & D. involve the same general points as above requiring decision They are as follows:-

Case B.

Case B. Satyadi Rajalkar
Poidars ~~Venkatachar~~ and others of Navarathna Agrahar Devanhalli Taluk Complain that the Quit-rent on their village fixed by the Inam dept is excessive. The Village was subjected to a Re-Survey; the Valuation now appears to be Rs 442-4-0 as against Rs 505 according to the Survey of 1883.-

Please see the Councillors' draft order subd herewith.

+ Serial 13/ 15.12.21.

Case C. Poidars Venkatallar and others of Mallenahalli Devanhalli Taluk.
Complaint ~~same~~ Same as in Cases A & B.
Resurvey of the Petrs lands was ordered. It has however not yet been carried out, pending the decision of the Govt as regards the question of fixing the valuation of eliminated villages in general - raised in Case A - above alluded to.

Case D. Poidars of Voodarahalli Doddaballapur Taluk

25

Taluk

Petrs Complaint is the Same as that in the three Cases referred to.

The Jovidar is said to have expressed his unwillingness to bear the Cost of remeasuring the land in question.

Submitted for orders.

PR

A file E is also Submitted herewith - It contains the Standing orders on the Subject of the mode of Valuation of the Inam and Kayamgutta Villages for purposes of Calculation of the Quit-Rent.

PR

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Re the question of arrears remaining unpaid by Sreenivasa Char, Jodidar of Sannapanahalli, Devanahalli Taluk, in connection with his complaint against the Survey valuation of his Jodi village

LAST REFERENCE. Letter No. 1076 dated 15th March 1894 from the Dy. Commissioner, Bangalore

The Deputy Commissioner in referring to his No: 691 dated 3rd December 1891 regarding the complaint of Sreenivasa Char, Jodidar of Sannappanahalli, Devanahalli Taluk, against the excessive Survey valuation of the village, requested the favour of early orders regarding the arrears of Rs.+ 241-1-6 due by the Jodidar of Sannapanahalli Village —

S.No 6 in file A.

+ Particulars not available.

2

2. The Government, after giving their best consideration to the subject and being of opinion that the treatment of fields cultivated more than half as wholly under cultivation for purposes of valuation was not fair to individual Inamdars and it was not calculated to give satisfactory average results, unless at the same time, account was omitted to be taken of any portion of the area of fields cultivated less than half, requested the Superintendent of Revenue Survey to revise the valuation of this and other villages

(16)

Vide P.R No: 14223-5 & 17-2-94.
Pet-7.100-93

with

with reference to the Extent of land actually under cultivation at the time of classification, without any distinction as to whether a field was cultivated more or less than half.

(18)

No 14226 dy 17th Feby/94.
P.T. 100-93

3. As regards Sannapana-halli Village in particular Government observed that the discrepancy between the results of the original Survey and the re-Survey was so high as nearly 50% that it was unlikely that the Inamdars would have purposely left so much area uncultivated for a series of years on the chance of getting the quit-rent reduced and accordingly called upon the Superintendent Revenue Survey to make a further Survey of the lands of this Village for the satisfaction of Govt. & report the result in due course.

4. When the question stands

thus the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District × invites attention to his letter No 691 (15) dated 3rd December/91 in which he requests for the sale of the Village Early orders, on the ground that the Jodi of this and similar Villages tends to swell the arrears against the Taluk unnecessarily and submits that if permitted, he would insist upon the Jodi being paid on pain of the Village being brought to sale. The Dy Commissioner adds that as it is always open to the Jodidars to get a refund in case Govt should consider the present demand excessive or unjustifiable, it may be ruled that in disputed cases the Jodidars and others should pay up the demand & then apply to Government for any relief to

× Vide Reminders Nos 12, 4, 174 770 & 1076 of 31st July & 16th August/93 & 10th January & 15th March/94.

and Sannakhana
halli in Devanhalli
Taluk. If approved
Copies of these receipts
will be sent to
the Dy. Comr. for information.

Please see Cmt
in Addl Comrs Office
Hand Writing

G. With reference to
this Office No. 14226 / P.100.93 dt 17/2/94
the Asst. Supt. has sent
to submit a report of the
Resurvey of the Sannappa
nahalli Village for which
a reminder is sent up-

The question now
for orders is as regards
Sannappanahalli
in the Devanhalli
Taluk.

A further survey
has been ordered in this
Office No. 14226 dated 17.2.94.
After this, the Jodi payable
has to be finally fixed.

Meanwhile the Dy
Comr. thinks that the
Case has been finally dis-
posed of and anticipates
the revision approximately
notwithstanding the clear
orders given in this Office
No. 3651/42 dt 31.8.94.

(21)

31.8.94

With reference to the orders
contained in Serial Nos 16 & 18 (File A)
the Superintendent Revenue
Survey submits 2 Statements
shewing the particulars of
cultivation &c according
to the measurement taken in
1894 as compared with the
classification books of 1893 1883
& observes that dry cultivation
has increased from 68-36
in 1883 to 83-23 in 1894
While that of Rice & Garden
taken together shews a
decrease of 1-39. The details
of the final settlement of
this Village are embodied
in the final Joint Rent Roll
received with the Assn
Superintendents docket
No 68 dated 21st December 94.
~~Subscribed~~ a copy of which
may be forwarded to the
Deputy Commissioner

with a request that he will at once take steps to recover the arrears due from the Jodidars.

Submitted for orders.

20/4 28/July/15

Read letters 157 & 1245 & Letter 3012.

The quit rents registers now received have, it is presumed, been prepared in accordance with the principles finally laid down for adoption in the valuation of Inam villages. Were directions required that the assessment of the lands actually at the time of classification under cultivation plus 25 per cent of the cultivable waste together with a fair rental for the kharab land to be used as harbarage should

ordinarily form the
bases of calculation.
Exceptional treatment
was also ordered in the
case of ~~bad~~ good land
allowed ~~to~~ waste ~~or~~
from the negligence or
other culpable conduct of
the ~~tenants~~ - Government
approve of the final settlements
now made by the Supdt of Survey
and direct that the
Dy Com of Bangalore may
take action to collect promptly
the arrears which have been
held in abeyance. Taking
into consideration the fact that
the tenants are not altogether
at fault for allowing their arrears
to remain unpaid the Govt
are pleased to remit the
interest payable on them.

To Dy Com Bangalore
Supdt Survey.
Endorsement to Pcdgs 3012
in the matter of
interest.

21.7.05

Draft
Draft ordered
21-7-05

ನಂಬರ್. 1051.

37

ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದಾ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ——— ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ——— ಇವರ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ———
ಇವರ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ——— ಗೆ ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್‌ಹುಕುಂ.

ನೀನು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ ——— 30 ನೇ ಮಮ್ಮುಮಿನ
ಅರ್ಜೀ ಸಂಗತಿಯನ್ನ ~~ಸಬ್ ಡಿವಿಜ಼ನ್ ಆಫೀಸಕವರಲ್ಲಿ~~ ಜಾಹಿರ್‌ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

ಬತಾರೀಖು 12 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ——— ಸನ್ 1888 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ದರಜಾಗಾ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

160

No. 246. These belong to file H

Mysore Dewan's Office,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

89

(2)

Official Memorandum.

The accompanying Petition No. 1033, dated 15th August/89 received at the Dewan's Office from Srinivasachari, son of Annayyachari, Jodidar of Sánavanahalli, Kasba Devanhalli, is forwarded, together with its three enclosures, to the the Inam Supdt, for submission of the Inam Register

BANGALORE,
22nd August 1889

By Order,
Under Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—11-8-88.—1,000.

I
P. 102

Journal No. 40



1889

L.R-86-89-3.

From

The Supt^t of Inam Settlt.

To,

The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore

Dated 14th
Recd 18 } September

Enclosure Nos 5

No 21 of 1889-90

His Official Memo
No 246 of the 22nd
Ultimo.

Sir,

As requested in the above, has the honor to forward herewith the Inam Register (No 122) of Devana = hally Taluk, containing the case in question; to be kindly returned when done with.

2. The enclosures of the Memo under reply are herewith returned.

I have
the honor
to be
Sir
Your most
obedient
Servant
D. Venkatachellum
21/9

Subst^t
D. Venkatachellum
21/9

× No 2.

Supt^t of Inam Settlt.

1/2119

VL

No. 344

R. O. 86-89-4. (5)

No 9129 of 17-2-90
123 / 25
Encl.

To The Superintendent of Revenue Survey.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith the Petition, No. 1033 of 1889, presented by the Inamdars of Syanapplankalli in the Devankalli Taluk of the Bangalore District, and a statement presented by them, shewing the area cultivated in their Village, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to cause the lands of the Village to be re-surveyed and furnish this office with a statement, shewing the area now actually under cultivation under wet, dry and garden respectively and the quit-rent chargeable thereon.

2. The Inamdars state that the Survey Department included a great deal of waste under cultivation which has unnecessarily enhanced their quit-rent. ~~and~~

According

According to the statement now filed by them, the area under actual cultivation differs considerably from that entered in the Inam Register as shown below.—

	As per Survey entered in the Inam Regist.	As per Inamdars statement.
Cultivated..	ac. G	ac. G
Dry....	104-28	29-3
Wet.....	4-8	2-12
Garden...	2-4	nil
Total	111-"	31-15
Cultivable waste.		
Dry....	69-34	13-4
Wet.....	"-36	1-19
Total	69-70	14-23
Uncultivable waste...	15-21	not given

3. The Inamdars also state that the punishment they derive from their tenants is a great deal less than the assessment fixed by your Department. I beg that you will also enquire into the truth of their statement and report on the matter.

4. The Inam Register received with your docket dated 18th September 1889, No 21, is herewith

(General Serial No. 30).

189 .

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

L.Q. 86-89-5

To

The Dy: Comm^r.
Bangalore Dt.

Dated 22.
Despatched } Dec^r/90.
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 825
No.

I has the honor to request that the Dy: Comm^r will [illegible] none the sale of Jodi Syanabhatta Kanahalli village in the Devanhalli Taluk, pending further orders.

Draft

By order

Under Secty.

Survey No. 47. Large No 7 4/5

10760

1891. 196

MAN OF MYS

From L.R. 86-89-7

The Superintendent
Mysore Rev. Survey.

To The Chief Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore.

Dated 18th Feb 91.

Despatched

Received

ENCLOSURES No. 1 file & 1 statement
No 759 of 1891.

Submitting a report
reviewing the result
of the resurvey of
Sandapanhalli in the
Devanhalli Taluk

CS
19/2/91

	A.	G.	A.	G.
Culturable waste. — 52				
A. G.				
— Dry	133	38	69	37
— Wet	3	11	0	36
— Garden	—	"	—	"
	137	9	70	33
Unculturable waste. —				
	17	15	15	21
Grand total	194	30	198	0

2 The difference between the state of the cultivation at the time of the original classification in 1883 is very great and yet I have no reason for supposing that the original returns represented anything but a true state of affairs at the time. For instance Col: Mackenzie took test of Survey No 11 and found that out of 25 acres and 24 guntas only 4 acres of dry were

57 7/2

No. 759 Survey Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 18th February/91.

(7)

To,

The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor in reply to your letter No. 9129/123 of the 17th February 1890 to state that I have had the village of Sanapanhalli in the Devanhalli Taluk resurveyed with the following result:

	As now resurveyed.		As per original classification.	
	a.	g.	a.	g.
Cultivated,				
Dry	36.	6	105.	14
Wet	0.	39	4.	5
Garden	3.	1	2.	7
	40.	6	111.	26

Culturable

Denomination.		Corrected valuation Acres		Assessment			Original valuation Acres		Assessment		
Cultivated.	Dry	79	36	131	"	"	105	14	172	"	"
	Wat.	4	5	24	"	"	4	5	24	"	"
	Garden	2	7	11	"	"	2	7	11	"	"
		86	8	166	"	"	111	26	207	"	"
Cultivable waste.	Dry	95	15	133	"	"	69	37	97	"	"
	Wat	"	36	6	"	"	"	36	6	"	"
	Garden	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		96	11	139	"	"	70	33	103	"	"
Unculturable waste.	"	15	21	"	4	"	15	21	"	4	"
Total	"	198	"	305	4	"	198	"	310	4	"

Under the above circumstances the Jodidars are of course entitled to the corrected valuation as shown above. I await the orders as to which valuation is to be accepted.

4 The Petition and the statement of Rs presented by the

the Suandar and received with your letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obd.t Serv.t

J. B. Grant

Superintendent
Mysore Rev: Survey

5-7

L.R. 86-89-8

Read letter from the Supt of Revenue Survey dated 18th Feby 1891 No 759.

No. 13490 of 27 February 91
161 / 5-Guards

The principle of separating the whole area of a number as cultivated when more than half of it is cultivated ~~ordinarily~~ ~~error~~, would be equitable right if the cultivation of a number less than half were left out of account. But the system adopted by the Survey Dept of taking the whole area as cultivated when more than half a number is cultivated, & of taking the portion actually cultivated when less than half is cultivated

Mem. forwarded that there is no Income &
is wrong & the
Govt cannot approve
of it. — The Supt
of Revenue Survey is
requested to report
whether the area of 40
acres now surveyed
as cultivated, is the
area actually cultivated
or the area obtained by
assuming the whole
number as cultivated
when more than
half is cultivated. —
In the latter case,
the area actually
cultivated should
be reported. —

2 — The Govt are aware
that it would be impossible
to determine the
value above with reference
to the actual cultivation
of any one particular
year, especially
if the Supt considers
that the Inam lands
not cultivated the

This bad letter

for Mr Theobald

Mathew —

All wrong

have the rest

Plan in the

first instance —

Yrs Dearest Love

Mat G

3/5

Survey No 47.

OFFICE OF THE
11473
12 MAR 91
212
DIWAN OF

188

From L.A. 86-89.

The Superintendent
Mysore Resurvey

To The chief Secy: to the Govt
of Mysore

Dated 11th March/91

Despatched

Received

To the same No 883 P.14 d/18/4/91

ENCLOSURES No.

No. 818 of 188 .

Submitting further information on the subject of the Valuation of the Inam village of Sannapallli in the Devanhalli Taluk.

Reference
6s
12/8/91

Sir Lieut...

8

2.

h. Mr. the ...
Councillor
21

No. 818

To

Survey Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 11th March 91

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of
Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, No. 13490/161 dated the 15th February 1891 and to submit further information on the subject of the valuation of the Inam village of Samaspanhalli in the Devanhalli Taluk.

2 The area of 40 acres now surveyed as cultivated is the area actually

actually cultivated. It may
be safely assumed that for reasons
best known to the Inda undars them-
=selves the area now cultivated
is not what it was at time of
classification in as much as the
area than actually cultivated
(without applying the half cultiv-
=vated Rule) was 7 acres 8
guntas valued at Rs 147. This
shows a difference of only 11 acres
of dry (wet and garden being
the same) less than shown in
the corrected estimate given at
Para 3 of my letter No. 7 5/9 of
the 18th February which is the
correct area under present rules
and practice.

3 In my opinion there is not

ordinary Anadi Banjar" and is more or less mixed up with the cultivation and probably was actually cultivated in some years. In dividing up village lands in a Government village for settlement the Ryots would certainly object to the Survey Numbers being confined to the bare cultivated area.

5 The decision of the Government in the present instance on the point raised in the preceding para, will, I presume, affect the valuation of all Inam villages hitherto valued and if it is decided that the actual cultivated area be alone taken for the purposes of Valuation, I shall have to revise both in the re-

Survey

Survey and Inam branches.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedt Servant

Supdt Mysore Rev. Survey

Pol. 5 4/2/14 69

Read letter from the Supt of Upper River Survey of 11th March 1891 No 883. 883 P. No. 14

The Supt is requested to state whether C. Mackenzie checked the measurements, or merely examined the computation. He is also requested to report whether the area calculated in each number was actually measured for the purpose of ascertaining whether it was more or less than half the area of the whole number, or whether the area of the whole number was put down as calculated when the area calculated was guessed to be more than half.

2. The Govt Surveyor

Send this for Hon Minister's approval

C.L.
18/4/91

Received numerous Petitions from the Ryots of Inam Villages in which they complain that waste lands have been treated as cultivated, & that the rates of assts adopted by the Survey Dept are much in excess of the rents they derive from their tenants. Although nothing can be done in regard to the rates of assts adopted, it is only fair that the Settlements should be revised with reference to the actual area cultivated though it may involve some labor on the survey Dept.

In Guntoor, Gun rents of Inam Villages have been determined entirely with reference to the Revenues realized by the Govt with a slight addition for prospective improvements. Compared with this, the Settlements already made cannot be said to be very liberal".—

18/4/91

To Superintendent

Survey No. 47.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
931
2 - MAY 91
P.2 10

1891.

From S.L.R. 86-89-11

The Supdt Mysore Rev. Survey

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated Gundlupet 1st May/91

Despatched

Received

ENCLOSURES No.

No. 76 of 1891

Reporting on the subject of the valuation of Inam villages

R Smith
P.1
4/8/91

Submitted with refce to the Council.

6/5/91 AG

AG
5-5-91

the "more than half cultivated"
rule this being the duty of the
Classers

3 The subject under reference
requires the very clearest rul=
=ing I would suggest that the
one broad rule that the areas
actually under cultivation,
and waste, respectively, at time
of classification be taken
as the basis of valuation.

4 As regards the numerous
petitions alluded to at Para 2
of Order I am not surprised
that they should have been
received if the Inamdars have
any idea that the cultivation
of any year other than the

year

year of classification will be accepted. The rates adopted by the Survey Department for valuation purposes are strictly in accordance with the rates in neighbouring Govt. villages. The revision of settlement on the actual area cultivated will entail no labour in the field on the part of the Survey Department, so long as it is distinctly understood that the state of things at time of classification must be accepted, for as I have already stated we have the record of the actual area

cultivated

(General Serial No. 30).

189/

From The Supdt Mysore Rev Survey
I. R. 86-89

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated Bangalore 13/15 July 1891

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 250
No.

This Office letter No 818 dated 11th March 1891, Para 5. anent the mode of fixing the Valuation of alienated villages.

Has the honor, in inviting the attention of the Dewan to the above, to solicit early decision in the matter in

Reference 13/7/91

Send this to Survey Commr & ask him to furnish reference

28/7/91

30/8

I do not know where & with whom the papers are. I believe they have been sent to Dewan. Find out. When such reminders come the original papers must be put up. What is the use of sending me the bare reminder?

18/7/91

I do not find the papers wanted BZ among the papers of the Dewan Babu
15-7-91
H. B.

in question, as if the Valuation of Inam villages is to be revised the work should be put in hand without delay

H Hunt

Supdt Mysore Rev. Survey

(General Serial No. 30)

1891-92

OFFICE OF THE
8329
5 - DEC. 91
452
DE. VAN O

From The Dy Commissioner
L.R.86-89-13
Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan Y
Mysore

Dated 3rd
Despatched
Received December 1891

Enclosures No.

General No.
D No. 691.

Soliciting early orders
to the sale of Jodi Syamap-
-panchalli Devanhully Taluk
as the Jodi of this and
similar villages tend to swell
the arrears against the Taluk
unnecessarily. &c.

Vide R0365 of 31/8/94
R472

5/12/91

Rib for Dewan's
orders 2/5/92

B2
8-12-91

53

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893 - 94 1349

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To L.A. 86-89 (16.2)

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

General Records
Pleadings of the
Reference
No. 2
5/93. N. Rev.
9/3.
11/8

Dated 31st July 1893.

Despatched 1st August 1893

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
D No. 124.

This Office No. 691 dated 30th December 1891, Regarding the arrears of Revenue due by the Jodidars of Syanappanahally, Devanhully Taluk.

Solicits the favour of early orders in the matter

M Gangleason
For Dy Commissioner.

The number quoted in the letter was entered to the Chief Secretary on 8th December 91. vide note in Genl Register & Issue Book.
9/3.
11/8/93

Submitted to the Chief Secy in Cantt
12/8/93
12/8/93

Rec'd 3/8

Rev. Ref. 278/93

85

L.A. 86-89-16.

Read:—

√ (All Correspondence and Petitions.)

√ Order

No. 14223-5 of 17 Feby. 1894.
Pet. 7. 100-93 26.

There have been
several complaints from
various quarters of excessive
valuation of their Villages
for purposes of Quit-rent
and Cesses under the
instructions conveyed
in para 4 of the Proceedings

of Government, No. 4701-9-133, dated 2nd September 1881, owing to the Survey Deptt regarding as under cultivation a larger extent than is really cultivated. Three of the Complaints, Viz, those relating to Jodi Somap-panahalli, Navaratna Agrahar and Sivar-Khandpur have been investigated and the cultivation areas ascertained ~~the results arrived~~ at by a re-Survey of the lands in those villages are as follows:- Compare as follows with those adopted by the Survey Dept- for purposes of Valuation.—

	I Samapana halli		II Navaratna Agrahar		III Aivarkhand -pura	
	Area as now Surveyed	Area adopted by the Survey Deptt in 1883	Area as now Surveyed	Area adopted by the Survey deptt in 1883	Area as now Surveyed	Area adopt -ed by the Survey Dept in 1883
Cultivated — Dry	36-6	105-14	183-36	243-11	579-28	595-11
Cultivated — Wet	0-39	4-5	8-0	12-26	149-8	177-31
Cultivated — Garden	3-1	2-7	8-4	14-18	21-36	22-13
Total Cultivated	40-6	111-26	200-0	270-15	690-32	775-15
Cultivable Waste — Dry	133-38	69-37	109-26	26-31	"	"
Cultivable Waste — Wet	3-11	0-36	5-20	"	"	"
Cultivable Waste — Garden	—	—	—	1-35	"	"
Total Cult^e waste	137-9	70-33	115-6	38-26	—	—

2. It is reported that the figures adopted by the Survey Department in 1883 do not represent the area that was actually under cultivation in that year, owing to the Departmental rule under which

When the cultivated area exceeded half the extent of a field, the whole field was taken as under cultivation, and when the cultivated area was less than half the extent of a field, the actual cultivated area alone was taken into account. In addition to the operations of this Departmental rule, certain clerical Errors are known to have been made in tabulating the figures given by the Classers in regard to the

first and second Villages above named; E.g. in the former Village, an Extent of 25 Acres 18 Guntas, which was really waste was erroneously included in the extent under cultivation and in the latter 55 Acres which under this rule referred to should have been classed as arable waste was entered as cultivated.

3- Although for purposes of valuation the extent of cultivated land was calculated by regarding fields

cultivated more than half are entirely cultivated, yet there is a record in the classers books of the extent of each field under actual cultivation in 1883. (the actual correct extent of cultivation in 1883 ~~is then~~ with that ascertained by ~~compared with the results of~~ the recent resurvey ~~as follows~~: — we are thus enabled to compare as follows

	I Samappana halli		II Navaratna Agrahar		III Sivankhand-puna	
	Area as now Surveyed	Actual extent in 1883	Area as now Surveyed	Actual extent in 1883	Area as now Surveyed	Actual extent in 188
Cultivated — Dry	36-6	68-36	183-36	189-37	579-28	575=1
Cultivated — Wet	0-39	4-5	8-4	12-36	149-8	177-31
Cultivated — Garden	3-1	2-7	8-4	12-23	21-36	22-13
Total Extent Cultivated —	40-6	75-8	200-0	215-16	690-32	775-15

The discrepancy in the first case is large. As regards the third case, it is stated that out of the extent now lying waste, 40 acres 39 Guntas were under cultivation about 10 years ago. This added to the 690 acres 32 Guntas now under cultivation ~~in that~~ Villages makes a total of 731-31 as against 775-15, the extent under actual cultivation in 1883.

4 The Superintendent, Revenue Survey, States

that the cultivated and waste area in each Survey number was measured at time of measurement, that if at the time of classification, the Classer had any doubts about the accuracy of division into cultivated and waste, he made a re-measurement, that the duty of working out the "more than half cultivated" rule rested with the classers and not the measurers, that the classification was tested by Colonel Mackenzie, that

though it is not known whether he actually remeasured the cultivated area, yet his test entailed his visiting every portion of the number tested and that there is therefore no reason to doubt the accuracy of the Classers' returns. He further states in his letter No. 818, dated 11th March 1881, that the treatment of the whole number as cultivated when more than half was under the plough, is not so illiberal as might at first

might be supposed, and that the so called Waste land thereby included does not represent ordinary "Anadi Banjar", but is more or less mixed up with the Cultiva-tion and probably was actually Culti-vated in some years He however suggests in para 3 of his letter No. 76, dated 1st May 1891, that the areas actually under cultivation and waste respectively at time of classification be taken as the basis of

of these and other Villages
with reference to the
extent of land actually
under cultivation
at the time of classi
-fication without
any distinction as
to whether a field
was cultivated more
or less than half.
The revision it is noted
can be effected on
the survey records
without any addi-
tional labour in
the field and the
opportunity should
be taken of the
revision to correct
also any clerical

errors such as those noticed above which may have crept into the original Valuation.

6. The Government are unwilling to permit any Inam-dar to ask that his Valuation of his Village may be fixed with reference to the extent under cultivation in any year named or chosen by him. The extent of actual cultivation in the year of classification must be the basis of valuation in all cases.

allowed their tank
to fall out of repair
since 1883 and ~~they~~
are therefore unable
to raise full wet Crops,
it is their fault
and no reduction of
quit rent is called
for on that account.

The orders issued
on the 20th January
1891 on the Complaint
of the Jodidars of
Mallenahalli for
the re-measurement
of their lands at the
expense of the Jodi-
dars may be carried
out and the quit-
rent

8. The valuation of
* Mallenhalli
& Woodenhalli
villages shd be
revised in
accce with the
instruction Contained
in the Concluding
part of para 5
above.

19.2.92

* Devarahalli JK
& Doddahoskere JK

revised in accordance
with the foregoing
instructions.

On the complaint
of the Jodidar of
Woddamhalli the
lands of the Village
were ordered to be
re-measured, but it
is reported that the
Jodidar has expressed
his unwillingness
to bear the cost of
the resurvey and
that the ~~Collector~~ Valuation has been based upon
~~return is corroborated~~
by the Khoot Pahani carried out by the Amildar
~~partaken~~ by the
Amildar.

L.Q. 86-89-16

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Petition),—dated 17th February 1894.

READ :—

Petitions Nos. 1033 and 2026 of 1888-89; 760 and 1580 of 1889-90; 2112, 2141 and 2196 of 1890-91 from the Jodidars of Sannappanhalli, Devanhalli Taluk, Nos. 1029, 1052 and 1099 of 1889-90 from the Jodidars of Navaratna Agrahar, Devanhalli Taluk, No. 937 of 1889-90 from the Jodidars of Aivarkhandapur in the Nelamangala Taluk, Nos. 1885, 2232 and 2258 of 1889-90, 2317 and 3015 of 1890-91; 2296 and 3020 of 1891-92 and 2924 of 1892-93 from the Jodidars of Mallenhalli, Devanhalli Taluk; and Nos. 1946 and 2654 of 1890-91 from the Jodidar of Wuddenhalli, Dodballapur Taluk.

2. Proceedings and reports received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, Superintendent, Inam Settlements, and Superintendent, Mysore Revenue Survey.

No. 14223-5—PET. F. 100-93, DATED, BANGALORE, 17TH FEBRUARY 1894.

ORDER THEREON.—There have been several complaints from Inamdars of excessive valuation of their villages for purposes of Quit-rent and cesses under the instructions conveyed in para 4 of the Proceedings of Government, No. 4701 -9—133, dated 2nd September 1881. Three of the complaints, *viz.*, those relating to Jodi Sannappanhalli, Navaratna Agrahar and Aivarkhandapur have been investigated and the cultivation areas ascertained by a re-survey compare as follows with those adopted by the Survey Department for purposes of valuation :—

		I Sannappanhalli.		II Navaratna Agrahar.		III Aivarkhandapur.	
		Area as now surveyed.	Area adopted by the Survey Department in 1883.	Area as now surveyed.	Area adopted by the Survey Department in 1883.	Area as now surveyed.	Area adopted by the Survey Department in 1883.
Cultivated	Dry	36— 6	105—14	183—36	243—11	519—23	575—11
	Wet	0—39	4— 5	8— 0	12—26	149— 8	177—31
	Garden	3— 1	2— 7	8— 4	14—18	21—36	22—13
	Total cultivated	40— 6	111—26	200— 0	270—15	690—32	775—15
Culturable Waste	Dry	133—38	69—37	109—26	36—31	...	...
	Wet	3—11	0—36	5—20	...	...	...
	Garden	...	...	...	1—35	...	...
	Total Cultivated Waste	137— 9	70—33	115— 6	38—26	...	...

2. It is reported that the figures adopted by the Survey Department in 1883 do not represent the area that was actually under cultivation in that year, owing to the Departmental rule under which, when the cultivated area exceeded half the extent of a field, the whole field was taken as under cultivation, and when the cultivated area was less than half the extent of a field, the actual cultivated area alone was taken into account. In addition to the operations of this Departmental rule, certain clerical errors are shown to have been made in tabulating the figures given by the classers, in regard to the first and second

villages above named, *e. g.*, in the former village, an extent of 25 acres 18 guntas, which was really waste, was erroneously included in the extent under cultivation and in the latter, 55 acres, which under the rule referred to should have been classed as arable waste, was entered as cultivated.

3. Although for purposes of valuation the extent of cultivated land was calculated by regarding fields cultivated more than half as entirely cultivated, yet there is a record in the classers' books of the extent of each field under actual cultivation in 1883. We are thus enabled to compare as follows the *actual* correct extent of cultivation in 1883, with that ascertained by the recent re-survey:—

		I Sannappanhalli.		II Navaratna Agrahar.		III Aivarkhandapur.	
		Area as now surveyed.	Actual Extent in 1883.	Area as now surveyed.	Actual Extent in 1883.	Area as now surveyed.	Actual Extent in 1883.
Cultivated ...	Dry ...	36— 6	68—36	183—36	189—37	519—28	575—11
	Wet ...	0—39	4— 5	8— 0	12—36	149— 8	177—31
	Garden ...	3— 1	2— 7	8— 4	12—23	21—36	22—13
Total Extent Cultivated.....		40— 6	75— 8	200— 0	215—16	690—32	775—15

The discrepancy in the first case is large. As regards the third case, it is stated that, out of the extent now lying waste, 40 acres, 39 guntas were under cultivation about 10 years ago. This added to the 690 acres, 32 guntas now under cultivation makes a total of 731—31 as against 775—15, the extent under actual cultivation in 1883.

4. The Superintendent, Revenue Survey, states that the cultivated and waste area in each survey number was *measured* at time of measurement, that if at the time of classification, the classer had any doubts about the accuracy of division into cultivated and waste, he made a re-measurement, that the duty of working out the "more than half cultivated" rule, rested with the classers and not the measurers, that the classification was tested by Colonel Mackenzie, that though it is not known whether he actually re-measured the cultivated area, yet his test entailed his visiting every portion of the number tested, and that there is therefore no reason to doubt the accuracy of the classers' returns. He further states in his letter No. 818, dated 11th March 1881, that the treatment of the whole number as cultivated when more than half was under the plough, is not so illiberal as might at first sight be supposed, and that the so-called waste land thereby included does not represent ordinary "Anádi Banjar", but is more or less mixed up with the cultivation and probably was actually cultivated in some years. He, however, suggests in para 3 of his letter No. 76, dated 1st May 1891, that the areas actually under cultivation and waste respectively *at time of classification* be taken as the basis of valuation, and adds that the revision of settlement on the actual area cultivated, at the time of classification, will entail no labor in the field on the part of the Survey Department, and that if Inamdars are to be allowed the benefit of such particular year as they choose, there will be endless petitions.

Re-Draft 104

(General Serial No. 31.)

1894. (8)

From

L.R. 86-6917.

To The Superintendent
Mysore Rev Survey

Dated 17-2-94

Despatched 26

Received

Enclosures No

General No. 14226

P.T. No. 100-93

Sir

Adverting to the order of this day regarding revision of valuation of Inam villages on the corrected area of actual ~~cultivation~~ at the time of classification, I am directed to observe that in the case of Sanappankalli village ~~the discrepancy~~ the discrepancy between the results of the original Survey and the re-Survey is so large as nearly 50 per cent and that the Government considers it very unlikely that the Inamdars would have purposely left so much area uncultivated for a series of years

on

on the chance of getting the Rent remitted. As considerable time has now elapsed since the resurvey, I am to request that a further survey of the lands of this village may be made for the satisfaction of Government and the result reported in due course

105

I have &c

for Chief Secretary

redrafted

107 (21)

L.R. 86-89-18

2 ...

no 3651/R472 g 31.8.94

~~Stay~~

Directing that the collection of quit rent due on Sannappan alias Devenhally Vk. Village, should be postponed tile the Judicial Com. -plaint is disposed of

From Income

No. F. 3257/R.855

g 7/9/94

Vol 4

Read:—

Letter no: 691 of 3d December 1891 from the Deputy Commissioner of the Bunga. Zone District, requesting, with reference to this office no: 825 of the 22d December 1890, directing the postponement of the sale of Jodi Syamappana halli, Davanhalli Taluk for the arrears of Land Revenue due by Srinivasappa the Jodidar of the said village that Early orders may be passed on the subject. Said as the Jodi of the said and other similar villages tend to swell the arrears against the taluk and suggesting that in cases like the one alluded to above it may be ruled that the Jodidars and those concerned shall

No. 592

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT

29th August 1894

Endorsement granted to Srinivasachar, Jodidar of Ramagondanhalli, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore Dist.

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 592 dated 20.8.94.

L.Q. 86-89-19.

Petitioner is informed that Orders have been issued to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore Dist. directing the postponement of the Collection of the Assessment on his Jodi Village till his Complaint is disposed of

By order,

Asst. to Chief Secy

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—6-3-94.—2000.

Deputy Commissioners' Office
Bangalore District.
Dated 7th September 1894

D. No. 309.

(23)

To,
The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In reference to the Proceedings of the Government No. 3651 R. 472 dated 31st Ultimo, passing orders in the case of certain Jodi villages, I have the honor to state that this Office letter which has been disposed of in the above Proceedings is of 1891 and not of 1894, as stated in them. I beg to request your attention to Proceedings No. 14223-S-Rev-7100-93 dated 17th February 1894, in which the dispute in regard to the Jodi payable on Seemappanahalli has been

finally disposed of by the Government. What now remains to be done is that the amount of the Jodi has to be revised by the Superintendent of Survey according to the instructions contained in the above Proceedings. This has not been formally done yet. But, as I could easily ascertain the result of the revision sufficiently accurately for my purpose, I have done so. The difference in favor of the Jodidar is about 8 Rs a year. For the 10 years during which the enhanced Jodi has been demanded, the difference comes to Rs 80/. This I have ordered to be kept back and the rest of the arrears due to be recovered in due course of law.

I have the honor to be
Your most Obedient Servant
[illegible]
for the Commissioner.



Gen. Serial No. 31.]

189

From

26-11-94

L. D. 86-89-22

To The Dy Collr Bangalore

Dated 26
Despatched 26 } Inwd Dgt
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. Pet √
No. 783

Forwarding herewith for perusal return Petn (Mysr No 1483 presented by Srinivasa Chariar of Devanahally village in Devanahally Taluk has the honour to refer the Dy Collr to the orders contd in Proc: No 3657-R 472 of 31st August last and to request the informed of the circs under which the allegations contd

From James No. L 7845 / C 359
9 10/12/94

in the petition be true)
the amount in question
was levied by demand
in Pltfs' case and
by attachment &
sale in the case of
the Coshares contrary
& the instructions contained
in the aforesaid orders
of Government -
** Sale held Contrary to orders
Should be Cancelled.

Many cash
Dft is requested -
Hy order

[signature]
26/4/94

26/4/94
Send remainder
Many Monday
the Dft
ed & after
2 Monday
proceed with sale

[signature]
26/4

122

D. No. 309. of 94/95.

Commissioners Office

Bangalore, 7th September 1894.

L.A. 86-89-24

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore.

27

Sir,

In reference to the Proceedings of the Government No. 365-1 / R. 472 dated 31st ultimo, passing orders in the case of certain Jodi villages, I have the honor to state that this office letter which has been disposed of in the above Proceedings is of 1891 and not of 1894 as stated in them. I beg to request your attention to Proceedings No. 14223-5 Petition T. 100. 93 dated 17th February 1894 in which the dispute in regard to the Jodi payable on Sannappana-halli has been finally disposed of by the Government. What now remains to be done is that the amount of the Jodi has to be revised by the Superintendent

of Survey according to the
instructions contained in the
above Proceedings. This has not
been formally done yet. But as I
could easily ascertain the result
of the revision sufficiently accurate-
ly for my purpose, I have done
so. The difference in favor of the
Zodiders is about 8 Rs a year.
For the ten years during which
the enhanced Jodi has been demand-
ed, the difference comes to Rs. 80/-
This I have ordered to be kept-
back and the rest of the arrears
due to be recovered in due course
of law.

I have &c.
Sd/ Mir Shujaet-Ali
Dy Commissioner

&

"Copy"

Dy Commissioner

be sent to Dy Com[r] 12
or wait till the Survey
Supt's report is recd.?
A draft reminder is
subd.

G
22/1/95

(33) 124

1894. 8273

From

The Superintendent R2266
of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary L286-89-25.
to the Government of Mysore.

Dated 21st
Despatched 22nd } December
Received.

Enclosure No. 4.

No. 68.

With reference to the Government Proceedings — No 14223-5 Rev. 7-100-93 dated 17th February 1894, has the honor to forward Revised Final Quit Rent Registers of the marginally noted Talooks of the Bangalore District. —

H Hunt

Supt of Inam Settls.

† Talooks. No. of Inam villages. Total
From — 3 = 3
1. Devanahalli — 1 — 3 — 4
1. Hoskote — 1 — 4 — 5
1. Nelamangal — 1 — 5 — 6
1. Doddaballapur-6 — 1 — 7

Rev 21/1/95

H.K
24/1/95

Subd. The Survey Supt. has not sent in his reply. May a copy of these Regrs

ation of the Inam and Kayfungutta villages
23-5 dated 17th February 1894. 123
100-93

As now revised

Lands.	Pasture land and unarable waste lands.	Total assessment.	Jodi	Combined Dry & Rice	Remarks.
	11	12	13	14	15
	2-4--	2-4--			
8--	--	361-8--			
	--	62--			
	--	81--			
8--	2-4--	506-12--	134-12-8	181--	

Inam Supdt's Office
Bangalore 22nd Decr 1894

H.

Supdt of Inam Settlt

Statement shewing the details of the Survey & Settlement prepared as per the Dewan's Proceedings No. 142 Fasli

Taluk	Village	Final Settlement No.	Description of Land	Area	As originally fixed			Assessment on Cultivated Lands	25% of the Assessment on arable waste
					Assessment	Jodi	Quit Rent		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Hosakote	Hoosa-Koor. (Jodi No. 4)	1248	Uncultble	70-10	"	"	"	"	"
			Dry	297.29	"	"	"	317	44
			Wet	12-10	"	"	"	62	"
			Garden	16-16	"	"	"	81	"
			Total	396-25	148-5-2	134-12-8	136	460	44

Statement shewing the details of the Survey valu
prepared as per the Dewan's Proceedings. No 14223-5
Petn: F. 100-93

Taluk.	Village	Final Fifth Kind No.	Description of Land.	Area	As originally fixed			As ascertained on Cultivation & Counts
					Assessment	Jodi	Quit Rent.	Assessment
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Dodballapur	Oodena-halli (Jodi) No 6	1043	Uncultble	17.19	"	"	"	
			Dry	227.34	"	"	"	85-12-"
			Wet	24.35	"	"	"	97-"
			Garden	1.38	"	"	"	10-"
			Total	272.6	15-"	14-8-9	15-"	192-12-0

tion of the Inam and Kayemgutta villages
dated 17th February 1894. —

As now revised ⟶

25% of the assessment on arable waste Lands.	Pasture grant on Unarable waste Lands.	Total assessment.	Judi	Combined Quit Rent	Remarks.
10	11	12	13	14	15
	— 4 — —	— 4 — —			
50 — 12 — —	—	136 — 8 — —			
8 — 8 — —	—	105 — 8 — —			
—	—	10 — — —			
59 — 4 — —	— 4 — —	252 — 4 — —	14 — 8 — 9	44 — — —	

Inam Supdts Office
Bangalore dt 22d Decr 1894

Supdt of Inam Settlt

ction of the Inam and Kayemgutta Villages
dated 17th February 1894.

As now revised

25% of the assessment on arable waste lands.	Pasture Rent on unarable waste lands.	Total assessment	Jodi	Combined Quit Rent	Remarks.
10	11	12	13	14	15.
"	24-"	24-"			
3-1-8	"	716-3-3			
"	"	895-7-4			
"	"	98-13-6			
3-1-8	24-"	1734-8-1	581-13-	726-"	

Inam Supdts Office
Bangalore 22d Decr 1894

Supdt of Inam Settlt

Statement Shewing the details of the Survey valu
prepared as per the Dewan's Proceedings No. 14223-5
Petn 7. 100-6

Taluk	Village	Final Fifth Head No.	Description of Land.	Area	As originally fixed			Assessment on Cultivated Lands.
					Assessment	Jodi	Quit Rent	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Nelamangala	Kivara = Kanda = pura Agrahar (Jodi) No. 5	1935.	Uncultivable	191.39	"	"	"	"
			Dry	575.11	"	"	"	713-1-7
			Wet	177.31	"	"	"	895-7-4
			Garden	22.13	"	"	"	98-13-4
			Total	967.14	872-11-8	581-13-4	618-"	1707-6-3

valuation of the Inám and Kayemgutta villages dated 17th February 1894.

126

As now revised.

	25% of the assessment on Arable unassessable Lands.	Pasturage &c. on unarable unassessable Lands.	Total assessment	Jodi	Combined Unit Rent.	Remarks.
	10.	11	12	13	14	15
		9-4--	9-4--			
	40-8--	———	296-8--			
	———	———	56----			
	1-4--	———	60-4--			
	111-12--	9-4--	422----	56-11-8	102----	
		8-4--	8-4--			
5--	15-4--	"	139-9--			
1--	"	"	50-1--			
"--	"	"	30----			
6--	15-4--	8-4--	227-14--	34-14-7	59----	
	"	"4--	"4--			
7--	36-12--	"	144-3--			
--"	1-8--	"	25-8--			
"--	——"——	"	11-----			
7--	38-4--	"4--	180-15--	17-15-5	38----	

Inám Supt's Office
Bangalore 22nd Decr 1894

Supt of Inám Settlt

Statement showing the details of the Survey prepared as per the Dewani's Proceedings No. 11425-3-5 Cetn. F. 100-93

Taluk	Village	Hobli & Kasba &c.	Description of Land	Area	Assessment originally fixed			Remarks on Cultivated Lands.
						Jodi	Dinl Rent.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
				A. G.				
	Agrahara (Jodi) No 1	1245	Uncultble	598.17	"	"	"	"
			Dry	280.2	"	"	"	256— "
			Wet	12.26	"	"	"	56— "
			Garden	14.18	"	"	"	59— "
			Total	905.23	75-7-3	56-11-8	59— "	371—
	Mallenahalli (Jodi) No 2	1022.	Uncultble	526.27	"	"	"	"
			Dry	124.26	"	"	"	124—
			Wet	10.17	"	"	"	50—
			Garden	5.0.	"	"	"	30—
			Total	666.30	49-7-3	34-14-7	37— "	204—
	Syanabhanahalli (Jodi) No 3	993.	Uncultble	15.21	"	"	"	"
			Dry	174.25	"	"	"	107—
			Wet	5.1	"	"	"	24— "
			Garden	2.7	"	"	"	11— "
			Total	197.14	29-1-5	17-15-5	19— "	142—

Devanahalli



2/4/a

142 [illegible]
196 [illegible]
124-1-94

26-11-94

Refer put up.

3/4.

Submitted to Dy Comr
Pet not stamped
This succeeds the
Somappankelli Jodi village
paid the amount demanded
of him before the sale.
As the sale of the
village had been declared
to have been cancelled
the Dy Comr has been
asked to report what
further orders are wanted
in the matter
Petr complains
that Rs 198-4 together
with interest and rubbie
fee have been collected
illegally from him & prays
for their refund.
21/16/4

Send the above
report
Dy Comr
17/4/95

Drafter
SR 20/4

2165 &
25/4/95

P-8/100-93

Gen. Serial No. 32.] 129

1895

No. 2425 L.A. 86-89-29

Name of Petitioner. Devamma Aarya
Poojaree of

Village. Lyamappanahalli

Taluk. Devanhalli

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 20-3-95

Date of Receipt. 22-3-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Reminder petition

States that having complained of the incorrectness of the Inam and Survey settlement of the above Jodi village the Jodi after causing the village resurveyed and ascertained its ~~correct~~ incorrectness finally decided the Dy Comr not to proceed with the sale of the village till the complaint and the Extra assessment-clear was disposed and to collect the usual Jodi Cowcum thereof - that he was also granted Endorsement No. 2112 23-12-90 to that effect - that he was paying the Inamdar Jodi till 1893-94 - that in 1894 the Dy Comr ordered the Amildar to collect the outstanding arrears although the complaint was pending disposal - that before the collection of the arrears he

represented his case to the Director - that the
Dy. Collr. in his No. 592 dated 6-7-96 directed that the
said arrears should not be collected - that in
spite of the above order the Dy. Collr. has collected
the said arrears - that on this day represented
to Dy. Collr. on 10-9-96 - who informed him on 12th
inst. that an annual reduction of Rs. 60 in the
Quit-rent and cess had been made thereafter
was directed to remit Rs. 80 and to collect Rs. 190
from him - that accordingly Rs. 190 were
recovered from him in addition to Rs. 8/4
recovered from him out of the 80 Rs remitted
that there is very unjust - and that in
addition to this Dy. Collr. has recovered
from him the Notice fee and the interest on
arrears - Pray that on perusal of the
records orders may be issued to refund
him the sum of Rs. 190 recovered from
him in excess together with the notice fee
and interest -

Yrs:

130

(35)

131

LA 66-89-27

To, The Superintendent
Mysore Rev Survey

Sir,

I am directed to invite your attention to this office letter No. 14226 P. 100-93 dated the 17th February 1894, requesting that a further resurvey of the lands of the Jode Village of Sannappanahalli in the Devanhally Taluk may be made for the satisfaction of Govt & a report submitted in due course, ~~As sufficient time has elapsed I am to enquire whether the report is ready~~ and to request that the report may be submitted as early as possible

Ltr No 15530 D/21/3/95
R 2240 23

I have &c

LK 21/3/95

Chief Secy

M/ 23/3/95

Letter this reminder sent 21/3/95 gone already

(37) 132

No. 47.]

1320
OFFICE
DEWAN
8 APR 95
R 3572

1895

From

L.R.-86-89-28

The Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated } Bangalore
Despatched } 6th April 1895

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 157 / No. } 1895

Chief Secretary's letter —
No. 155-50 dated 21st Ultimo
R 2240

requesting that a further
re-survey of the lands
of Saundapanhalli
in the Devanhalli mag
be made for the satis=
=faction of Government
and

15.4.95
17

Rev
8/4

Submitted with office
note in continuation.

20/4/95

and the result reported (3)

In reply has the honor to submit herewith two statements shewing the area under cultivation cultivable and waste as found by measurement in 1894 and as per classification Books of 1883. It will be seen from the above that dry cultivation has increased from 68. 36 A 9 in 1883 to 83. 23 A 9 in 1894 while that of rice and garden taken together shows a decrease of 1. 39 A 9.

Of the waste 21. 17 A 9 is said to have been formerly cultivated and the rest 74. 6 A 9 used as pasture for cattle.

H Hunt

Supdt Mysore Rev. Survey

Statement showing the particulars of land under c

Survey Number	Description	Total area	Kharab	Remaining area	Under cultivation			Formerly cultivated now waste			
					Dry	Rice	Garden	Dry	Rice	Garden	Dry
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Jodi Inam	0.22	0.22	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	Do	7.6	0.12	6.34	5.24	"	1.10	"	"	"	"
3	Jodi Inam	1.9	"	1.9	1.9	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	Jodi Inam	21.28	0.10	21.18	12.36	"	"	8.22	"	"	"
5	Do	25.21	4.9	21.12	"	"	"	"	"	"	21.12
6	Do	15.11	0.7	15.4	"	"	"	"	"	"	15.4
7	Do	38.32	1.0	37.32	11.30	"	"	"	"	"	26.2
8	Do	27.5	0.20	26.25	14.37	"	"	"	"	"	10.3
9	Do	28.27	0.35	27.32	20.9	"	"	7.23	"	"	"
10	Do	1.14	1.14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
11	Do	25.29	0.16	25.13	16.38	0.38	2.5	4.16	0.36	"	"
	Total	193.4	9.25	183.19	83.23	0.38	3.15	20.21	0.36	"	72.21

Do of Bangalore 6th April 1895

elevation, cultivable and waste as found by
Measurement in 1894

(Todavaru) Immemorial waste		Remarks
Rice	Garden	
13	14	15
"	"	Temple road &c
"	"	
"	"	
"	"	
"	"	
"	"	Used as cattle pasture
"	"	
"	"	
1.25	"	
"	"	
"	"	Tank
"	"	
1.25		

H Hunt
Supdt Mysore Rev Survey

Statement shewing the particulars of land under

Survey Number	Description	Total area	Kharab	Remaining area	Under cultivation			Formerly cultivated but now waste		
					Dry	Rice	Garden	Dry	Rice	Garden
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
1	Jodi Inam	0 22	0 22	"	"	"	"	"	"	
2	Do	7 9	0 12	6 37	5 29	0 31	0 17	"	"	
3	Jari Inam	1 11	"	1 11	1 11	"	"	"	"	
4	Jodi Inam	23 5	0 10	22 35	20 35	"	"	2 0	"	
5	Do	26 5	4 9	21 36	"	"	"	21 0	0 36	
6	Do	15 15	4 15	11 0	"	"	"	11 0	"	
7	Do	38 37	1 0	37 37	"	"	"	37 37	"	
8	Do	27 20	0 20	27 0	"	1 22	"	25 18	"	
9	Do	29 5	0 35	28 10	23 10	"	"	5 0	"	
10	Do	1 15	1 15	"	"	"	"	"	"	
11	Do	25 29	0 16	25 13	17 31	1 32	1 30	4 0	"	
	Total	196 13	13 34	182 19	68 36	4 5	2 7	106 15	0 36	

Dd/ Bangalore 6th April 1895

cultivation cultivable and waste as per
Classification Books of 1883

ivable te	Remarks
1	12

Tank

Supdt Mysore Resurvey

Pets 3572
19.4.95

The file is in the Rev. Branch.

Rev. Branch

Please send the file which was sent to your Branch on 16/4/95.

Dated 23/4.

23/4

File herewith sent—
Please see memo in Endorsement put up with it
23/4/95

Submitted to Rev Counsellor

23/4

Rec'd 23 in the file.

136

Gen. Serial No. 31.] 14025

1894-95.

Pet 571

From The Dy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
R.Q. 86-89-29.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Dated 15th April 1895.

Despatched 19th Do

Received

Enclosures—No. 1 file

General No.

D No. 1245.

The Chief Secretary's No. 15-683 dated 22nd Ultmo, Pet. 7. 100-93 anent the arrears of revenue due on Sanappanahally village, Devanhully Talukh.

In returning the enclosures of the above, begs the favor of being enlightened in reference to this Office No. 309 dated 7th September last, whether till the Survey Department revises the Gunt rent the whole balance should

be allowed to hang on.
It is good neither to us
nor to the Inamdars who
will find it at last
hard to pay a large
amount at once. The
~~Deputy~~ Commissioner
begs the Government to
~~send~~ his above letter.
The probable amount
of remission is easily
ascertainable. Hence
was his order to collect
the arrears exceeding
~~that~~ amount.

A. Manninger
for Dy Commissioner.

P 100-93

MYSORE DE[redacted]

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

dR. 86-89-5

The accompanying Petition No. 2425

dated 20 · 3 · 95 received at the

Dewan's Office from Srinivasa Chariya, Jodidar of Channappanahalli Devanhalli Taluk praying for refund of Rs 198-4-0 together with interest thereon, alleged to have been illegally collected from him

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Dy. Commr. Bangalore District for report in continuation of his office Memo No. 15683 Pet. P. 100-93 dated 22nd March 1895, for report.

2. The petition should be returned

By Order,

Draft Mark 22/4

BANGALORE, 189 5/4/95 Chief Secretary

Reader.

SWR 24/4

M.G.C.P.B.—19-2-95—1,000

W 22/4

Gen. Serial No. 31.

1894-95.14700

Pet. 5-96.

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District
L.R. 86-89-31

To Chief
The Secretary to the Government
~~Durbar~~ of Mysore.

Dated 1st May 1895.

Despatched —Do—

Received

Enclosures—No. 1

General No.

D No. 1295.

The Chief Secretary's docket-No. Pet. 7100-93 dated 17th Ultimo, forwarding for report a petition from Srinivasa Char, Jodidar of Sanjappanahally, Devanhally Taluk, anent the Recovery of Jodi from him

Adverting to the above has the honor to solicit reference to the correspondence ending with this Office No. 1245 dated 15th April 1895 - to the

Pet. 5/95

O.T. No 100 of 93.

Rec'd 3/5

The file was submitted to the Rev Councillor on 26th April

Rec'd 4/5 Await receipt of orders -

[redacted] ry.

The enclosure is returned.

In Kumar Rao

For Dy C. Commissioner.

DR

MYSORE
REC
DATE 22-3-95

[illegible]

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 3012 L.R.86-89-

Name of Petitioner. Srecenivasachar -

Village. Jodidar of Shyanabhanna -halli - residing

Taluk. Devanhalli

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 12-5-95

Date of Receipt. 13-5-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

States that he having complained to Dewan about the incorrectness of the Survey measurement of the above village and the Enhancement of the quitrent payable by him the village was ordered to be remeasured and subsequently an order was issued that the Enhanced quitrent should not be recovered from him till further orders were passed in the matter - that final orders having been passed in the matter in 1894 the Enhanced quit-rent should be recovered from him from the above date - that misled by doing so the Dy. Comr. has decided to recover from the date of the original Sarvey Settlement together with interest thereon - that accordg the amount has been recovered

post without any arrears. That the enhanced pay was not collected at the order of post. That the Dy. Comr. has decided to recover interest on the said amount from him & that on appealing to this office though equitable orders were passed yet the above officer does not accept it. Pray that orders may be issued to remit the interest and also to refund the amount recovered from him in Excess.

Yrs.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFF
& PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D No. 3012/R
DATE 13-5-95

NO
3012

L. R. 86-87

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore.

Read:

(1) Survey supt's letter No 157 dated 6th April 1895 submitting the ~~contain~~ statements, ~~connected~~ with the re-survey of the lands of Sanappanahalli Devanhalli Talukh

called for in letters Nos 14226/P.100-93 and 1550/R.2240 dated 17th Feb. 1894 and 21st March 1895, respectively, showing the results of

(2) Letter No. 1245 dated 15th April 1895 from the Deputy Comm: Bangalore Soliciting instructions regarding the recovery of arrears of revenue due on Sanappanahalli

(3) Petition Regd No 3012 dated 13th May 1895 presented by Sreenivasa Char godivan of Sanappanahalli praying ~~that orders might be passed for the~~ remission of ~~the~~ interest and for the refund of the amount ~~said~~ to have been recovered from him in excess of that properly ~~due~~ due.

Order thereon. No. 1269-70 / P.J. 100-93 d/25-7-95

The quit rent registers with docket No: 68 dated 21st Dec: 1894, ~~now~~ received, have, it is presumed, been prepared in accordance with the principles finally laid down for adoption in the valuation of ~~the~~ Inam villages. These directions required that the assessment of the lands actually under cultivation at the time of classification plus twenty five per cent of the assessed culturable waste together with a fair rental for the Kharab land to be used as pasturage should ordinarily form the bases of calculation. Exceptional treatment was also ordered in the case of good land allowed to lie waste from the negligence or other culpable conduct of the Inamdar. Government approve of the final settlements now made by the Superintendent of Revenue Survey and direct that the Deputy Commr: of

1 W 9

Bangalore may take action to collect promptly the arrears which have been held in abeyance - Taking into consideration the fact that the Inamdars are not altogether at fault for allowing their arrears to remain unpaid the Govt are pleased to remit the interest payable on them.

(Draft as per 2d Council's order)

25-7-95

Revenue Secretary

To

1 The Deputy Comm: Bangalore with the records.

2 The Supt of Rev. Survey with refce to his letter no 157 dated 6th April 1895

3 Endorsement to Petr

4 in the matter of interest

BCR

(15)

3012/15

L.D. 86-89-34.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

13.5.1895 [illegible] 3012 [illegible]

[illegible]

By order

(draft)

Revenue Sec

[illegible]

[illegible]

G. R. S. IX-5]

1899

Previous references.

L-R 85-89.
L-R 83-89

File No. 86-89

192 .

(L Q.)

Serial Nos. / 53.

Later references.

R. Mis 831-04-05
B. Nomenclature 1905
45/164
L-R 123-90
L-R Vol. 31 (99 110 115 119 145 147 151 174 219 220 226 230)
R 8.26-39-(1-10). July 47

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. / to 84

Part B for July 1895

SUBJECT.

Complaint of Srinivasachar Jodidar of Kanchipuramalaka: Devakalli Tk: against the Accuracy Value of the Village.

R 16. To The Asstt: Collr: Chingleput.
" D o Trans: of Memo:
" Dy: Collr: Bangalore
No 14223-5/Dei: 7-100-93 dt 17-
Issuing instructions for providing the valuation of certain Jodi Villages with respect to the extent of land actually under cultivation at the time of classification without any distinction as to whether field was cultivated more or less in a fasli. Issued
1-7-95

W.D. 2223-G.P.B.—20,000—14-6-26

as they choose there will be endless petitions.

The Government agree that except where the difference between the classed figures of 1883 and the figures obtained at survey is very great and there is nothing to show that lands now under cultivation had since been allowed to lie waste, the rule proposed by the Survey Superintendent is the correct one to be adopted. The procedure adopted by the Survey Dept. in 1883 was not correct. They would have acted rightly if while treating the whole number as cultivated when the area cultivated exceeded half the total area of the Mandavi, they ~~had omitted to take into account~~ the area of ~~those numbers in which~~ the actual cultivated was less than half. ~~The area of those Numbers~~.

In the case of Sichappanhalli the Govt are inclined to doubt the accuracy of the Survey measurement of 1883. The present area cultivated is but half of what is reported to have been cultivated in 1883 and it is very unlikely that the Mandavi would purposely have left so much area uncultivated as to effect a reduction of ~~assessment~~ Government revenue. The best solution of the difficulty would be to revise

have given the best consideration to the subject. The Govt are of opinion that the treatment of fields, cultd. more than half, as wholly under cultn. for purposes of valuation, was not fair to ~~the Individual~~ ~~and it was not Calculated~~ individual Mandavs & it was not calculated to give ~~reliable~~ Satisfactory average results, unless at the same time ~~no allowance~~ account was omitted to be taken of any portion of

The Supt. of Rev. Surveys is accordingly requested to revise the classification of this & other Talugs with reference to the extent of land actually under cultn. at time of classification; without any distinction as to whether a field was cultd. for more or less than half. The revision it is noted can be effected on the records without any additional labor in the field and the Govt. are glad to learn that the revision taken of the revision errors connot also any clerical errors such as noticed above will be corrected. Should mistakes exist under the original Valuation

The Govt. are prepared to permit

The Govt are unwilling to permit any Mandavi

the quit rent with reference to the correct area of the classification of 1883 and keep the collection of the difference between that amount and the amount calculated on the area now cultivated, in abeyance till further orders, so that the lands may be re-measured in another year or two and the matter finally settled. The Survey Supt is accordingly requested to revise the quit rent with reference to the actual area of cultivation in 1883 and to intimate to the Dy Commr the amount of quit rent that would be leviable if the present area cultivated were adopted, so that he may keep the collection of the difference in abeyance till further orders.

In the case of Navaratna Agrahar it is reported that the lands classed as garden are cultivated with dry crops owing to the insufficiency of water supply in the wells. They appear to have been classed and assessed as garden simply because there were wells in them, which seem to have been excavated by the Inamdars for temporary use during the famine. Under the circumstances stated the Govt consider that the so called garden lands may be assessed

the

30

to which they may be entitled.

4 Submitted for orders.

If approved, a copy of letter No 14226 P.7. 100-93 of the 17th February 1894 addressed to the Superintendent Revenue Survey, may be sent to the Deputy Commissioner with the intimation that no final orders can be passed on the question pending the revaluation of the Village ordered in para 5 of Govt Pro: No 14223-5 P.7. 100-93 dated 17th February 1894.

9/7/94

9/7/94

Submitted for orders

10/7/94

Mr Commissioner

6. Issued as per para 5

Vide

Samathanelle
10

In the case of the Village under notice, the collection of land revenue must be postponed till the complaint is disposed of. No general orders can be issued in regard to other Sonth villages. Each case can only be disposed of on its merits

29/8/94

Draft

Draft
Vus
30/8/94

Inner Cover — 31 Jodi Samarappa hall —

Vide No. 3651 (24) / 472 dt 31-8-94

7. In reply the Dy. comm^r of Bangalore states in his letter No. 3029 (23) dt 7-9-94 that the Complaint of the Jodidars has been disposed of in G. No. 14223-s- P. 100. 93 dated 17-Feb-94. and in reply to further reference from this Office he quotes the above No. The Dy. comm^r, it will be seen, has misunderstood para 5 of Govt Ord: No. 14223-s- P. 100. 93 dt 17/2/94, in which the Supdt of Rev: Sur: was requested to revise the valuation of this & other villages.

× Please see printed Prof. No. P. 783 dt 26/11/94

8. The Inam Supdt submits revised Quit rent Registers of the Jodi Villages of Hoosakote (1) in the Hoskote Taluk, Airarakhandapura (2) in Nelamangala Taluk, Kodenahally (3) in Doddaballapur Tk, and of Agrahara (4), Mallenahalli (5)

~~2~~ 4

To

The Supt of Rev
Survey

Sir,

I am forwarding herewith the Petition No 1033 of 1889 presented by the Inamdars of Ryan ap[t] Panhalli in the Devanhalli Taluq of the Bangalore Dt, & a Statement presented by them showing the area cultivated in their village, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to cause the lands of the village to be surveyed & forward them office with a Statement showing the area now actually under cultivation under wet, dry & garden

respectively, & the quit rent chargeable thereon.

2— The Lurs state that the Survey Dept includes a great deal of waste under cultivation which has unnecessarily enhanced their quit rent, & according to the statement now filed by them, the area under cultivation differs from that entered in the Survey Regr as shewn below—

	as per Survey records in Lurs Regr Acres Q	as per Lurs statement Acres Q
Cultivated		
Dry	104-28	29-3
Irrigated	4.5	2-12
Garden	2-7	nil
Total	111-0	31-15
Cultivable waste		
Dry	69.37	13-4
Garden	.36	1.19
Total	69.73	14-23
Uncultivable waste	15.21	not given.

3

4. The Lurs Regr received with your docket dated 18th Sept 1889 No 21 is herewith returned.

15/12

Mr Lurs also state that the rent they derive from their lands is a great deal less than the assessment fixed by your Dept. I beg that you will also enquire into the truth of the statement & report the matter.

15/12

herewith returned 45

I have the

Under Secretary

9
P. 102

1890.

To,
Supt. Rev. Survey.

4/17
Dr 25- February 1890

No 9129
123.

Forwarded

20/2

Govt. Petn. No. 1033 of 1889 from the Inamdars of Ayyanapalle in the Devanhalli Taluk of the Bangalore Distt., for investigation & Report.

DEC 1880

OF MYSORE

L.R. 86-84-168

211

were uncultivated, whereas by Survey now carried out no less than 6 acres and 9 guntas of dry and 36 guntas of wet are stated to be uncultivated. This is only for one Number tested. Survey No. 3 was wholly cultivated at time of ori=-ginal classification, it is now returned as wholly waste. Survey No. 4 had only 2 acres of waste, it has now 9 acres 18 guntas as waste, and so on more or less through=-out the village.

3 There has however been an error in calculating the valuation in the first instance in my office. The practice followed has been, when

when more than half of a Number was under cultiv=ation to take the whole area as cultivated and when less than half of the Number was cultivated to take only the portion actually cultiv=ated. By a clerical error 25 acres 18 guntas were recorded as cultivated when they were really waste. Under this method the valuation of the village based upon the original classification should be as follows.

Corrected

usual area with a
view of getting
the valuation reduced.
If, in the present case,
the Supdt considers
that the area now cultivated
is less than that usually
cultivated, he is
requested to report,
upon enquiry, whether
much more land was
cultivated in the
preceding two or three
years.— It must
presumed that it
would always be possible
to distinguish lands
brought under plough
within the 2 or 3 preceding
years, from lands not
at all cultivated or
cultivated prior to 3 years.

27/2/91

29/2/91

1891

To,

The Superintendent

Mysore Revenue Survey

Dy 27 February

No. 13490/161

Requesting further in-
-formation in Connection
with the resurvey of Sana-
-panhally in the Deva-
-nhally Taluk.

not the slightest reason to accept the 40 acres now reported as cultivated as against the 75 acres & guntas cultivated at time of original classification, both areas having been worked out on exactly the same principles and each representing the actual cultivation of the respective years. The only question is whether the valuation should be readjusted on the actual cultivated area at time of classification. The cultivated area would be less by .11 acres dry land than what it would be by assuming the whole Number to be cultivated when more than half was cultivated. I do not think it would be possible to ascertain how much land was in cultivation during

during the last 2 or 3 years and so long as there is no reason whatever to doubt the correctness of the return of the cultivated area at time of original classification I would respectfully remonstrate against such a step. The original classification was tested by Colonel Mackenzie and I see no reason to doubt the accuracy of the returns.

4 The rules for the valuation of Inam villages are very liberal and the treatment of the whole Number as cultivated when more than half was under the plough is not so illiberal as might at first sight be supposed. Such included so called waste land does not represent ordinary

(General Serial No. 30).

64

1891.

From L.A.86-29-1 16

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

To

The Superintendent Revenue Survey

Dated 18th

Despatched April/91

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 883
No. P 14

From the same No. 76 dt 1/5/91

Requesting information on certain points connected with the valuation of the Inam village of Sannappanhalli in the Devanhalli Taluk

No. 76 72

Survey Supt's Office
Gundlupet 1st May 1891

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt this day of Government of Mysore Order No. 883 dated 18th April 1891 P. 14 on the subject of the valuation of Inam villages. In reply I beg to state that Col: Mackenzie tested the classification; whether he actually measured the cultivated area I cannot say but as his classification

classification test would of necessity entail his visiting every portion of the Number tested he certainly must have checked the measurements, moreover he answers for their accuracy

2 The cultivated & waste area in each Survey Number was measured at time of measurement. If at time of classification the Classer had any doubts about the accuracy of division into cultivated and waste he of course re-measured It will be borne in mind that it did not at all rest with the Measurers to work out the

-16 11/4

cultivated. If Inamdars are to

be allowed the benefit of

such particular year as they

choose there will be endless

petitions.

5 I expressed my opinion

that the present Rules, which

were not drawn up by me

but by the Government of Bombay,

were very liberal & I am

still of the same opinion.

The system alluded to as

followed in Madras of fixing

Quit Rents of Inam villages

appears to me to be necessarily

very unequal in results both

as regards Government and

the

the Inundaus themselves, al-
-though of course as a rule highly
favourable to the latter.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Sr
J. Smart
Supt Mysore Rev. Survey

D. No. 691.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Dated 3rd December 1891

L.Q. 86-89-15

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To.

The Chief Secretary to
the Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to invite attention to your docket No. 825 of 22nd December 1890, directing the postponement of the sale of Jody Syannappanahalli, Devanahalli Taluk and to request early orders may be passed, as the Jodi of this and similar villages tend to swell the arrears against the Taluk, unnecessarily. If permitted, I will insist upon the Jody being paid, on pain of the village being brought to sale.

2. I need not point out that it is

always open to Jodidars to get a refund in case Government should consider the present demand excessive or ~~unjustifiable~~ -

3- I would, in view of large arrears outstanding, venture to suggest that it may be ruled that in disputed cases the Jodidars and others should pay up the demand and then apply to Government, for any relief to which they may be entitled.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

for Deputy Commissioner

Mus

Proceedings of the Govt of H.H the Maharaja's Mysore

d. R. 86-89 - 6.

Read :-

1. Petitions Nos 1033 and 2026 of 1888-89; 760 & 1580 of 1889-90; 2112, 2141 and 2196 of 1890-91 from the Jodidars of Sunnapparhalli, Devanhalli Taluk, Nos 1029, 1052 and 1099 of 1889-90 from the Jodidars of Navarathis agrahar, Devanhalli Taluk, No 937 of 1889-90 from the Jodidars of Arivar Khandapur in the Nelamangala Taluk, Nos 1885, 2232 and 2258 of 1889-90, 2317 & 3015 of 1890-91; 2296 and 3020 of 1891-92 and 2924 of 1892-93 from the Jodidars of Mallenhalli, Devanhalli Taluk; and Nos 1346 & 2654 of 1890-91 from the Jodidar of Woodanhalli, Doddaballapur Taluk

2. Proceedings and reports received from the Dy: Comm^r Bangalore District, Superintendent, Inam Settlements and Supt^e Mysore Revenue Survey.

valuation, and adds that the revision of settlement on the actual area cultivated at the time of classification will entail no labour in the field on the part of the Survey Department, and that if Inamdars are to be allowed the benefit of such particular year as they choose, there will be endless petitions.

5. The Government have given their best consideration to the subject and are of opinion that the

treatment of fields
cultivated more than
half as wholly under
cultivation for
purposes of valuation
was not fair to
individual Inamdars
and it was not
calculated to give
satisfactory average
results, unless at
the same time,
account was omitted
to be taken of any
portion of the area of
fields cultivated
less than half. The
Superintendent of
Revenue Survey will
accordingly be requested
to revise the valuation

7. In the case of Navanatna Agrahar it is reported that the lands classed as garden are cultivated with dry crops owing to the insufficiency of the water supply in the wells. They appear to have been class-ed and assessed as garden, simply because there were wells in them which seem to have been excavated by the Inamdars for temporary use during the famine

Under the circum-
stances, the Govern
-ment considers
that the so called
garden lands should
be assessed at
dry rates. It is
further reported
that the village tank
is out of repair,
that the lands under
it are now cultivated
with dry crops and
poonji rice and that
there is no likelihood
of the tank being
repaired and the
lands under it
being brought under
wet cultivation.
If the Mamdars have

Remeasurement of this Village is accordingly not now required.

20/2
for Chief Secy

17.2.91.

Print

To
The Supdt. Rev. Survey
The Supt. Inam Settlements with the Inam Registers
The Dy Comm. Bangalore District with records

Read with nos 17 & 45 dt 2/9/89 & 14/2/90, ~~redirected~~.

Read with Bangalore Dy Comrs no 686 dt 8-6-91 and no 382 dt 23/8/89
I. V. File belongs to Josi Navaratna Agrahar —
1 — Do — do — Dwarakhandapura.

2

L R 46-89-16

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Petition),—dated 17th February 1894.

READ :—

Petitions Nos. 1033 and 2026 of 1888-89; 760 and 1580 of 1889-90; 2112, 2141 and 2196 of 1890-91 from the Jodidars of Sannappanhalli, Devanhalli Taluk, Nos. 1029, 1052 and 1099 of 1889-90 from the Jodidars of Navaratna Agrahar, Devanhalli Taluk, No. 937 of 1889-90 from the Jodidars of Aivarkhandapur in the Nelamangala Taluk, Nos. 1885, 2232 and 2258 of 1889-90, 2317 and 3015 of 1890-91; 2296 and 3020 of 1891-92 and 2924 of 1892-93 from the Jodidars of Mallenhalli, Devanhalli Taluk; and Nos. 1946 and 2654 of 1890-91 from the Jodidar of Wuddenhalli, Dodballapur Taluk.

2. Proceedings and reports received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, Superintendent, Inam Settlements, and Superintendent, Mysore Revenue Survey.

No. 14223-5—PET. F. 100-93, DATED, BANGALORE, 17TH FEBRUARY 1894.

ORDER THEREON.—There have been several complaints from Inamdars of excessive valuation of their villages for purposes of Quit-rent and cesses under the instructions conveyed in para 4 of the Proceedings of Government, No. 4701 -9—133, dated 2nd September 1881. Three of the complaints, *viz.*, those relating to Jodi Sannappanhalli, Navaratna Agrahar and Aivarkhandapur have been investigated and the cultivation areas ascertained by a re-survey compare as follows with those adopted by the Survey Department for purposes of valuation :—

		I Sannappanhalli.		II Navaratna Agrahar.		III Aivarkhandapur.	
		Area as now surveyed.	Area adopted by the Survey Department in 1883.	Area as now surveyed.	Area adopted by the Survey Department in 1883.	Area as now surveyed.	Area adopted by the Survey Department in 1883.
Cultivated	Dry	36— 6	105—14	183—36	243—11	519—28	575—11
	Wet	0—39	4— 5	8— 0	12—26	149— 8	177—31
	Garden	3— 1	2— 7	8— 4	14—18	21—36	22 13
Total cultivated.....		40— 6	111—26	200 0	270—15	690—32	775—15
Culturable Waste	Dry	133—38	69—37	109—26	36—31	...	...
	Wet	3—11	0—36	5—20	...	...	...
	Garden	...	...	...	1—35	...	...
Total Cultivated Waste.....		137— 9	70—33	115— 6	38—26	...	...

2. It is reported that the figures adopted by the Survey Department in 1883 do not represent the area that was actually under cultivation in that year, owing to the Departmental rule under which, when the cultivated area exceeded half the extent of a field, the whole field was taken as under cultivation, and when the cultivated area was less than half the extent of a field, the actual cultivated area alone was taken into account. In addition to the operations of this Departmental rule, certain clerical errors are shown to have been made in tabulating the figures given by the classers, in regard to the first and second

villages above named, *e. g.*, in the former village, an extent of 25 acres 18 guntas, which was really waste, was erroneously included in the extent under cultivation and in the latter, 55 acres, which under the rule referred to should have been classed as arable waste, was entered as cultivated.

3. Although for purposes of valuation the extent of cultivated land was calculated by regarding fields cultivated more than half as entirely cultivated, yet there is a record in the classers' books of the extent of each field under actual cultivation in 1883. We are thus enabled to compare as follows the *actual* correct extent of cultivation in 1883, with that ascertained by the recent re-survey:—

		I Sannappanhalli.		II Navaratna Agrahar.		III Aivarkhandapur.	
		Area as now surveyed.	Actual Extent in 1883.	Area as now surveyed.	Actual Extent in 1883.	Area as now surveyed.	Actual Extent in 1883.
Cultivated	Dry ...	36— 6	68—36	183—36	189—37	519—28	575—11
	Wet ...	0—39	4— 5	8— 0	12—36	149— 8	177—31
	Garden ...	3— 1	2— 7	8— 4	12—23	21—36	22—13
Total Extent Cultivated.....		40— 6	75— 8	200— 0	215—16	690—32	775—15

The discrepancy in the first case is large. As regards the third case, it is stated that, out of the extent now lying waste, 40 acres, 39 guntas were under cultivation about 10 years ago. This added to the 690 acres, 32 guntas now under cultivation makes a total of 731—31 as against 775—15, the extent under actual cultivation in 1883.

4. The Superintendent, Revenue Survey, states that the cultivated and waste area in each survey number was *measured* at time of measurement, that if at the time of classification, the classer had any doubts about the accuracy of division into cultivated and waste, he made a re-measurement, that the duty of working out the "more than half cultivated" rule, rested with the classers and not the measurers, that the classification was tested by Colonel Mackenzie that though it is not known whether he actually re-measured the cultivate area, yet his test entailed his visiting every portion of the number tested an that there is therefore no reason to doubt the accuracy of the classers' returns. He further states in his letter No. 818, dated 11th March 1881, that the treatment of the whole number as cultivated when more than half was under the plough, is not so illiberal as might at first sight be supposed, and that the so-called waste land thereby included does not represent ordinary "Anádi Banjar", but is more or less mixed up with the cultivation and probably was actually cultivated in some years. He, however, suggests in para 3 of his letter No. 76, dated 1st May 1891, that the areas actually under cultivation and waste respectively *at time of classification* be taken as the basis of valuation, and adds that the revision of settlement on the actual area cultivated, at the time of classification, will entail no labor in the field on the part of the Survey Department, and that if Inamdars are to be allowed the benefit of such particular year as they choose, there will be endless petitions.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Petition),—dated 17th February 1894.

5. The Government have given their best consideration to the subject and are of opinion that the treatment of fields cultivated more than half as wholly under cultivation for purposes of valuation was not fair to individual Inamdars and it was not calculated to give satisfactory average results, unless at the same time, account was omitted to be taken of any portion of the area of fields cultivated less than half. The Superintendent of Revenue Survey will accordingly be requested to revise the valuation of these and other villages with reference to the extent of land actually under cultivation, at the time of classification, without any distinction as to whether a field was cultivated more or less than half. The revision, it is noted, can be effected on the survey records without any additional labor in the field and opportunity should be taken of the revision to correct also any clerical errors such as those noticed above which may have crept into the original valuation.

6. The Government are unwilling to permit any Inamdar to ask that the valuation of his village may be fixed with reference to the extent under cultivation, in any year named or chosen by him. The extent of actual cultivation in the year of classification must be the basis of valuation in all cases.

7. In the case of Navaratna Agrahar, it is reported that the lands classed as garden are cultivated with dry crops owing to the insufficiency of the water-supply in the wells. They appear to have been classed and assessed as garden, simply because there were wells in them which seem to have been excavated by the Inamdars for temporary use during the famine. Under the circumstances, the Government consider that the so-called garden lands should be assessed at dry rates. It is further reported that the village tank is out of repair, that the lands under it are now cultivated with dry crops and *punji* rice and that there is no likelihood of the tank being repaired and the lands under it being brought under wet cultivation. If the Inamdars have allowed their tank to fall out of repair since 1883 and are therefore unable to raise full wet crops, it is their fault, and no reduction of quit-rent is called for on that account.

8. The valuation of Mallenhalli, Devanhalli Taluk, and Wuddenhalli village, Dodballapur Taluk, should be revised in accordance with the instructions contained in the concluding part of para 5 above.

T. ANANDA ROW,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Superintendent, Revenue Survey.
The Superintendent, Inam Settlements in Mysore, with the Inam Registers.
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, with records.

Exd.—K.S.

1894.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

PETITION.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 17*th February* 1894.

No. 14223-5. / Pet. F. 100-93.

Issuing instructions for revising the valuation of certain Jodi villages with reference to the extent of land actually under cultivation at the time of classification, without any distinction as to whether a field was cultivated more or less than a half.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—8-3-94.—120.

181/2 106

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893/94 14075

R 2748

From
The Dy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore.

L.Q. 86-89-17.

Dated 15th March 1894.

Despatched 17/3 Do

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

D No. 1076

This Office R.O. 124 dated 1st instant 1893, requesting orders in respect of Rs. 241-1-6 due for Sanapyana-halli village Devanahalli Taluk.

I have the honor to request an early reply to the above.

I am
Sir
Your most obedient servant
for Dy Commissioner
&c

Rec'd 17-3-94

Endorsed Boundaries

Please put up P.F. 106 for reference.

23/3

P.F. 2/100-93 refunds

23/3.

Transferred to Peta Branch

26/3/94

Rec'd 28/3/94

Orders have been issued on the questions raised by the Survey Supdt and as regards this particular village a further report has been called for from the S. Supdt.

The question of arrears regarding which orders are sought in this docket, is in my opinion, one to be dealt with in the Revenue Branch.

The file may be sent to that Branch

5/4

Endd with office note.

9/7/94 Vide R.O. 3657 dt 31/8/94.
R 472

R 19/3

pay up the demand and then apply to Government for any relief to which they may consider themselves entitled.

Order no: 3651 / R472 dt 31-8-94 / 1-9

In the case of the Annampatti village under notice the collection of Quit-rent must be postponed till the Jodidar's complaint is disposed of.

2. No general order can be issued in regard to other Jodi Villages. Each case can only be disposed of on its merits.

31/8

Chinglesby.

Dms 31/8/94

To
The D.C. Bangalore.

To be issued from Bangalore

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894-95. M.

From The Dy Commissioner
325-7
Pet 230.
Bangalore District.

To R 853

23

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

L.R. 86-89-20.

Dated 7th
Despatched 8th } September 1894
Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

D No. 309

In reply to Govt Pro No 3651 dated
31st Ultimo SUBJECT. A 472

Intimating that
the Jodi payable on
Seenappanahalli
has been finally
disposed of by Govern-
ment.

Please See Dy. Commr's
Vide No. 7845-
359
DW 8/9/94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

189

No. 1483 L. R. 26-11-94.

Name of Petitioner. Sreenivasachar Jodidar of Sajanapphalli

Village. } Devanhalli
Taluk. }

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 26-11-94

Date of Receipt. 26-11-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Represents that the extra quit-rent (which was ordered not to be levied pending government decision) of the Sannappa halli Jodi village, was not only levied by dema but the village was als attached and sold. an prays that the matter may be enquired into and the sale conducte

nbrary to rules, cancelled 1/4/5

6/11/96

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1894-95 Pet. 359

From The Dy Commissioner.
Bangalore District.

To Chief 7
The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th December 1894.
Despatched 14th —Do—
Received

Enclosures—No. two.

General No.
D No. 691.

The Chief Secretary's No. P 783 dated 26th Ultimo, forwarding for report a petition from Srinivasa Char, Jodidar of Sanappana-halli, Devanhully Taluk, Complaining of the sale of the village for the arrears of Government demand.

In returning the enclosure of the above, has the honor to request reference to this Office No. 309 dated 6th September 1894, explaining the

Pete
15.12.94

Rec. 18/12

Rev. Branch.

Please put up the references. The file has been sent to you yesterday.

19/12

C.S. Please see P. 7 100.

19/12

Petition Branch.

P. 7 100 is pending in this branch. Please put up the refs quoted in this

19/1

Re Pet 783 & Reminder Re 9120/887 of 4-12-94 with the abstract of Pet Re 1483 are put up.

19/1 Subt for orders with reference to Note only Re 8273/2266.

circumstances under 12
which the arrears were
ordered to be recovered.
A copy of it is submitted
for ready reference.

For Dy Commissioner.